

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/771,801

Applicant(s)

SALE ET AL.

Examiner

Ana M. Fortuna

Art Unit

1723

All Participants:(1) Ana M. Fortuna.(2) Thomas Payne.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 July 2005**Time:** 5**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

O. Type. Double Patenting.

Claims discussed:

All.

Prior art documents discussed:

*Koits , PCT'807.***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***the attached Examiner's amendment was discussed and agreed with Mr. Payne.***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)